arXiv:0908.2475v5 [math.OA] 12 Jan 2010

A Class of Completely Positive Maps[*]

Liu Weihua, Wu Junde[†]

Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P. R. China

Abstract. *Let H be a complex Hilbert space, $\mathcal{B}(H)$ be the set of bounded linear operators on H, $1 \leq n \leq \infty$, $\mathcal{A} = \{T_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ be commutative, and $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the completely positive map which is defined by $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{B}(H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(H) : B \longmapsto \sum\limits_i T_i B T_i^*$.*

(1). If $\sum_{i=1}^n T_i T_i^* = I$ in strong operator topology, then the fixed points set $\mathcal{B}(H)^{\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}}$ of $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ is $\mathcal{A}'$, that is

$$\mathcal{B}(H)^{\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}} = \{B \in \mathcal{B}(H) | \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(B) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i B T_i^* = B\} = \mathcal{A}'.$$

(2). If $F = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i T_i^* < I$, then the fixed points set $\mathcal{B}(H)^{\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}}$ of $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ is $P^F(\{1\})\mathcal{A}'$, where P^F is the spectral measure of F, that is

$$\mathcal{B}(H)^{\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}} = \{B \in \mathcal{B}(H) | \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(B) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i B T_i^* = B\} = P^F(\{1\})\mathcal{A}'.$$

In this paper, by using the spectral theory, we present a direct proof for $\mathcal{A} = \{T_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq \{A \in \mathcal{B}(H) : 0 \leq A \leq I\}$ case.

Key Words. *Completely positive maps, fixed points, commutant.*

Mathematics Subject Classification. 46L07, 47L90, 81R10

1 Introduction

Let H be a complex Hilbert space, $\mathcal{B}(H)$ be the set of bounded linear operators on H, $\mathcal{E}(H)$ be the set of $\{A \in \mathcal{B}(H) : 0 \leq A \leq I\}$. Each element of $\mathcal{E}(H)$ is said to be a *quantum effect*, the set $\mathcal{E}(H)$ has some nice physical meanings ([1]). Let $\mathcal{A} = \{T_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\sum\limits_{i=1}^n T_i T_i^*$ converge in the strong operator topology, where $1 \leq n \leq \infty$. Then the map

---

[*] This project is supported by Natural Science Found of China (10771191 and 10471124).

[†] Tel: 86-571-87951609-8111, E-mail: wjd@zju.edu.cn

$\Phi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{B}(H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(H) : B \longmapsto \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} A_i B A_i^*$ defines a *completely positive map.* The famous Choi's theorem showed that if H is a finite dimensional complex Hilbert space, then each completely positive map is just the form ([2]). If $\sum\limits_{i} T_i T_i^* \leq I$, then the sequence $\mathcal{A} = \{T_i\}_{i=1}^{n}$ is called a row contraction ([3-4]) and the completely positive map $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ is said to be a *quantum operation* ([5]). If $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a quantum operation and for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $T_i \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, then $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ is said to be a *Lüders* operation ([5-6]). The completely positive maps theory has a great number of applications in quantum probability theory and quantum information theory ([7-19]). Now, we denote $B(H)^{\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}}$ to be the fixed points set of $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$, and $\mathcal{A}'$ to be the commutant of $\mathcal{A}$, that is, $B(H)^{\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}} = \{B \in B(H) \mid \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(B) = B\}$, $\mathcal{A}' = \{B \in \mathcal{B}(H) \mid BT_i = T_i B, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. It is clear that if $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} A_i A_i^* = I$, then $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq B(H)^{\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}}$. If B is a fixed point of the quantum operation $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$, in physics, it implies that B is not disturbed by the action of $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$. So, studying the structure of $B(H)^{\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}}$ is an important project in quantum information theory ([5, 14-17]).

In [5, 14], it was proven that if H is a finite dimensional complex Hilbert space and $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} T_i T_i^* = I$, then $B(H)^{\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}} = \mathcal{A}'$. In general, $B(H)^{\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}} \neq \mathcal{A}'$. For example, in [5], Arias, Gheonda and Gudder presented a nice example showed that for $n = 5$, the conclusion is not true even when $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{5} \subseteq \mathcal{E}(H)$. However, we can apply Theorem 3.1 in [3] to show the following nice result:

Theorem 1.1 Let H be a complex Hilbert space, $1 \leq n \leq \infty$, $\mathcal{A} = \{T_i\}_{i=1}^{n} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ be commutative, and $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the completely positive map which is defined by $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{B}(H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(H) : B \longmapsto \sum\limits_{i} T_i B T_i^*$.

(1). If $\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i T_i^* = I$ in strong operator topology, then the fixed points set $\mathcal{B}(H)^{\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}}$ of $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ is $\mathcal{A}'$.

(2). If $F = \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i T_i^* < I$, then the fixed points set $\mathcal{B}(H)^{\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}}$ of $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ is $P^F(\{1\})\mathcal{A}'$, where P^F is the spectral measure of F.

In this paper, by using the spectral theory, we present a direct proof for $\mathcal{A} = \{T_i\}_{i=1}^{n} \subseteq \mathcal{E}(H)$ case.

2 Element lemmas

Let $1 \leq n < \infty$ and $\mathcal{A} = \{E_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{E}(H)$ be commutative. Firstly, for each $E_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, we have the spectral representation theorem:

$$E_i = \int_0^1 \lambda dF_\lambda^{(i)},$$

where $\{F_\lambda^{(i)}\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ is the identity resolution of E_i satisfying that $\{F_\lambda^{(i)}\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ is right continuous in the strong operator topology and $F_\lambda^{(i)} = 0$ if $\lambda < 0$ and $F_\lambda^{(i)} = I$ if $\lambda \geq ||E_i||$, moreover, for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $F_\lambda^{(i)} = P^{E_i}(-\infty, \lambda]$, where P^{E_i} is the spectral measure of E_i ([20]). Now, for fixed integers $m, k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_n$, we denote

$$F_{k_1,\cdots,k_n}^m = P^{E_1}(\frac{k_1}{m}, \frac{k_1+1}{m}] \cdots P^{E_n}(\frac{k_n}{m}, \frac{k_n+1}{m}].$$

Since E_i and E_j are commutative for any $i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, so $F_{k_1 \ldots k_n}^m$ is a well-defined orthogonal projection operator.

Lemma 2.1. Let $1 \leq n < \infty$, $\mathcal{A} = \{E_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{E}(H)$ be commutative and $B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. If for any integers m and $k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_n$, B commutes with $F_{k_1,\cdots,k_n}^m$, then B is commutative with each E_i in $\mathcal{A} = \{E_i\}_{i=1}^n$.

Proof. For each rational number $q = \frac{p}{l}$, where p, l are integers. If $\frac{p}{l} < 0$, then $F_{\frac{p}{l}}^{(i)} = 0$, if $\frac{p}{l} \geq 1$, then $F_{\frac{p}{l}}^{(i)} = I$. Let $l > p \geq 0$, so $0 \leq \frac{p}{l} < 1$. Then $F_{\frac{p}{l}}^{(i)} = P^{E_i}(\frac{-1}{l}, 0] + P^{E_i}(0, \frac{1}{l}] + \cdots + P^{E_i}(\frac{p-1}{l}, \frac{p}{l}]$, thus, we can prove easily that

$$F_{\frac{p}{l}}^{(i)} = \sum_{k_i < p} (\sum_{k_1,\cdots,k_{i-1},k_i,k_{i+1},\cdots,k_n} F_{k_1,\cdots,k_n}^l).$$

So, for each rational number $q = \frac{p}{l}$, $F_{\frac{p}{l}}^{(i)}$ commutes with B, note that $\{F^{(i)}\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ is right continuous in the strong operator topology, so B commutes with each E_i, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $1 \leq n < \infty$, $\mathcal{A} = \{E_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{E}(H)$ be commutative and $B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. If B does not commute with some E_{i_0} in $\mathcal{A}$, then there are integers m, $k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_n$ and $k_1', k_2', \cdots, k_n'$, such that $k_i \neq k_i'$ for at least one i and $F_{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_n}^m B F_{k_1',k_2',\cdots,k_n'}^m \neq 0$.

Proof. Without of losing generality, we suppose that B does not commute with E_1. By Lemma 2.1, there are integers m and $k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_n$ such that $F_{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_n}^m B \neq F_{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_n}^m B F_{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_n}^m$ or $B F_{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_n}^m \neq F_{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_n}^m B F_{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_n}^m$. If $F_{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_n}^m B \neq F_{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_n}^m B F_{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_n}^m$, then there exists integers $k_1', k_2', \cdots, k_n'$, $k_i \neq k_i'$ for at least one

i such that $F^m_{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_n}BF^m_{k'_1,k'_2,\cdots,k'_n}\neq 0$. In fact, if not, we will get that

$$F^m_{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_n}B=\sum_{k'_1,k'_2,\cdots,k'_n}F^m_{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_n}BF^m_{k'_1,k'_2,\cdots,k'_n}=F^m_{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_n}BF^m_{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_n}.$$

This is a contradiction. Similarly, if $BF^m_{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_n}\neq F^m_{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_n}BF^m_{k_1,k_2,\cdots,k_n}$, we will also get the same conclusion. The lemma is proven.

Moreover, we have a stronger conclusion in the following.

Lemma 2.3. Let $A\in\mathcal{E}(H)$ and $B\in\mathcal{B}(H)$. If B does not commute with A, then there exists integer m,k,j with $|k-j|\geq 2$ such that

$$P^A(\frac{k}{m},\frac{k+1}{m}]BP^A(\frac{j}{m},\frac{j+1}{m}]\neq 0.$$

Proof. By lemma 2.2, we can find $k_1\neq j_1$ such that $C=P^A(\frac{k_1}{m},\frac{k_1+1}{m}]BP^A(\frac{j_1}{m},\frac{j_1+1}{m}]\neq 0$. If $|k_1-j_1|\geq 2$, then we get the m,k,j satisfy the lemma. If $j_1=k_1+1$, we replace m by $2m$ and let $k_2=2k_1$, $j_2=2j_1$. Then

$$P^A(\frac{k_1}{m},\frac{k_1+1}{m}]=P^A(\frac{k_2}{2m},\frac{k_2+1}{2m}]+P^A(\frac{k_2+1}{2m},\frac{k_2+2}{2m}],$$
$$P^A(\frac{j_1}{m},\frac{j_1+1}{m}]=P^A(\frac{j_2}{2m},\frac{j_2+1}{2m}]+P^A(\frac{j_2+1}{2m},\frac{j_2+2}{2m}].$$

Now we consider k_2,k_2+1 and j_2,j_2+1, if we still can not take $|k-j|\geq 2$ satisfy the conclusion, then

$$P^A(\frac{k_2}{2m},\frac{k_2+1}{2m}]BP^A(\frac{j_2}{2m},\frac{j_2+1}{2m}]=0,$$
$$P^A(\frac{k_2}{2m},\frac{k_2+1}{2m}]BP^A(\frac{j_2+1}{2m},\frac{j_2+2}{2m}]=0,$$
$$P^A(\frac{k_2+1}{2m},\frac{k_2+2}{2m}]BP^A(\frac{j_2+1}{2m},\frac{j_2+2}{2m}]=0.$$

So we have $C=P^A(\frac{k_2+1}{2m},\frac{k_2+2}{2m}]BP^A(\frac{j_2}{2m},\frac{j_2+1}{2m}]$.

Keep on this way, then we will find the integers k,j which satisfy the conclusion or we get a sequence $\{p_i,p_i+1,2^{i-1}m\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $p_i+1=2^{i-1}j_1$ and $C=P^A(\frac{p_i}{2^{i-1}m},\frac{p_i+1}{2^{i-1}m}]BP^A(\frac{p_i+1}{2^{i-1}m},\frac{p_i+2}{2^{i-1}m}]$. If the first case happens, then we proved the lemma. If the second case happens, note that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty}(\frac{p_i+1}{2^{i-1}m},\frac{p_i+2}{2^{i-1}m}]=\emptyset,$$

and

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty}(\frac{p_i}{2^{i-1}m},\frac{p_i+1}{2^{i-1}m}]=\{\frac{j_1}{m}\},$$

so $\lim\limits_{i\to\infty} P^A(\frac{p_i}{2^{i-1}m}, \frac{p_i+1}{2^{i-1}m}] = P^A\{\frac{j_1}{m}\}$ and $\lim\limits_{i\to\infty} P^A(\frac{p_i+1}{2^{i-1}m}, \frac{p_i+2}{2^{i-1}m}] = 0$ in strong operator topology, thus,

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} P^A(\frac{p_i}{2^{i-1}m}, \frac{p_i+1}{2^{i-1}m}]BP^A(\frac{p_i+1}{2^{i-1}m}, \frac{p_i+2}{2^{i-1}m}] = 0$$

in strong operator topology ([33]). But for each positive integer i,

$$C = P^A(\frac{p_i}{2^{i-1}m}, \frac{p_i+1}{2^{i-1}m}]BP^A(\frac{p_i+1}{2^{i-1}m}, \frac{p_i+2}{2^{i-1}m}],$$

so we get that $C = 0$, this is a contradiction and the lemma is proved in this case.

If $k_1 + 1 = j_1$, we just need to take all the above calculations in adjoint and interchange the index j and k. The proof is similar, thus, we proved the lemma.

Lemma 2.4. Let $1 \leq n < \infty$, $\mathcal{A} = \{E_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{E}(H)$ be commutative and $\sum_{i=1}^n E_i^2 \leq I$. If $X \in B(H)$ is not commutative with E_1, then there exists positive integer m such that for each positive integer p, there exist projection operators $P, Q \in \mathcal{A}'$, $PQ = 0$, $Y = PXQ \neq 0$, and

$$\frac{\|Y\| - \|\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(Y)\|}{\|Y\|} \geq \frac{p^2 - 4\sqrt{n}mp - 2n}{2(pm)^2}.$$

Proof. Since X does not commute with E_1, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that there exists integers m, k, j such that $|k - j| \geq 2$ and $P^{E_1}(\frac{k}{m}, \frac{k+1}{m}]XP^{E_1}(\frac{j}{m}, \frac{j+1}{m}] \neq 0$. Note that

$$P^{E_1}(\frac{k}{m}, \frac{k+1}{m}]XP^{E_1}(\frac{j}{m}, \frac{j+1}{m}] = \sum_{k_2,\cdots,k_n}\sum_{k_2',\cdots,k_n'} F^m_{k,k_2,\cdots,k_n} X F^m_{j,k_2'\cdots,k_n'},$$

so there exist $k, k_2, \cdots, k_n$ and $j, k_2', \cdots, k_n'$ such that $|k - j| \geq 2$ and

$$F^m_{k,k_2,\cdots,k_n} X F^m_{j,k_2',\cdots,k_n'} \neq 0.$$

Let $P_0 = F^m_{k,k_2,\cdots,k_n}$, $Q_0 = F^m_{j,k_2',\cdots,k_n'}$, $Y_0 = P_0XQ_0$. Then P_0 and Q_0 are projection operators and $P_0, Q_0 \in \mathcal{A}'$, $P_0Q_0 = 0$, $Y_0 = P_0XQ_0 \neq 0$. Moreover, for each $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, if we denote $k_1 = k$, $k_1' = j$, then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \|E_iY_0E_i\| & = & \|E_iP^{Ei}(\frac{k_i}{m}, \frac{k_i+1}{m}]Y_0P^{E_i}(\frac{k_i'}{m}, \frac{k_i'+1}{m}]E_i\| \\ & \leq & \|E_iP^{E_i}(\frac{k_i}{m}, \frac{k_i+1}{m}]\|\|Y_0\|\|P^{E_i}(\frac{k_i'}{m}, \frac{k_i'+1}{m}]E_i\| \\ & \leq & \frac{k_i+1}{m}\|Y_0\|\frac{k_i'+1}{m} \\ & = & \frac{k_i+1}{m}\frac{k_i'+1}{m}\|Y_0\|. \end{array} \qquad (1)$$

Thus, we have

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^n E_iY_0E_i\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|E_iY_0E_i\| \leq (\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{k_ik_i'}{m^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{k_i + k_i'}{m^2} + \frac{n}{m^2})\|Y_0\|. \qquad (2)$$

Since $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} E_i^2 \leq I$ and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} F^m_{k,k_2,\cdots,k_n}(I - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} E_i^2) & = & F^m_{k,k_2,\cdots,k_n} - F^m_{k,k_2,\cdots,k_n}\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} E_i^2 \\ & \leq & F^m_{k,k_2,\cdots,k_n} - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} \frac{k_i^2}{m^2} F^m_{k,k_2,\cdots,k_n} \\ & = & (1 - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} \frac{k_i^2}{m^2}) F^m_{k,k_2,\cdots,k_n}, \end{array} \tag{3}$$

so, we have $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} k_i^2 \leq m^2$. Similarly, we have also $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} k_i'^2 \leq m^2$. Moreover, note that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 2m^2(1 - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} \frac{k_i k_i'}{m^2} - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} \frac{k_i + k_i'}{m^2} - \frac{n}{m^2}) & = & m^2 + m^2 - 2\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} k_i k_i' - 2\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(k_i + k_i') - 2n \\ & \geq & \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} k_i^2 + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} k_i'^2 - 2\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} k_i k_i' - 2\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(k_i + k_i') - 2n \\ & = & \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(k_i - k_i')^2 - 2\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(k_i + k_i') - 2n \\ & \geq & (k_1 - k_1')^2 - 2\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(k_i + k_i') - 2n, \end{array} \tag{4}$$

and $(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} k_i)^2 \leq n(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} k_i^2) \leq nm^2$, $(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} k_i')^2 \leq n(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} k_i'^2) \leq nm^2$, we have

$$2m^2(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{k_i k_i'}{m^2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{k_i + k_i'}{m^2} - \frac{n}{m^2}) \geq (j - k)^2 - 4\sqrt{n}m - 2n. \tag{5}$$

On the other hand, it follows from

$$\|Y_0\| - \|\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i Y_0 E_i\| \geq \|Y_0\| - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|E_i Y_0 E_i\|$$

$$\geq [1 - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{k_i k_i'}{m^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{k_i + k_i'}{m^2} + \frac{n}{m^2})]\|Y_0\|$$

and (5) that

$$\frac{\|Y_0\| - \|\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(Y_0)\|}{\|Y_0\|} \geq \frac{(j - k)^2 - 4\sqrt{n}m - 2n}{2m^2}.$$

For each positive integer p, we replace m with pm. Note that

$$Y_0 = \sum_{s_1,s_2,\cdots,s_n} \sum_{s_1',s_2',\cdots,s_n'} F^{pm}_{s_1,s_2,\cdots,s_n} Y_0 F^{mp}_{s_1',s_2'\cdots,s_n'} \neq 0,$$

so there exist $s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_n$ and $s_1', s_2', \cdots, s_n'$ such that

$$Y = F^{pm}_{s_1,\cdots,s_n} Y_0 F^{pm}_{s_1',\cdots,s_n'} \neq 0.$$

Thus, it is easily to prove that $\frac{k_i}{m} \leq \frac{s_i}{pm} \leq \frac{k_i+1}{m}$ and $\frac{k_i'}{m} \leq \frac{s_i'}{pm} \leq \frac{k_i'+1}{m}$. Note that $k_1 = k, k_1' = j$ and $|\frac{j-k}{m}| \geq \frac{2}{m}$, we have

$$\|\frac{s_1 - s_1'}{pm}\| \geq \|\frac{k_1 + 1 - k_1'}{m}\| \geq 1/m,$$

thus

$$\|s_1 - s_1'\| \geq p.$$

By the similar analysis methods as (5), we get

$$2(pm)^2(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{s_i s_i'}{(pm)^2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{s_i + s_i'}{(pm)^2} - \frac{n}{(pm)^2}) \geq p^2 - 4\sqrt{n}mp - 2n. \tag{6}$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$\|Y\| - \|\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i Y E_i\| \geq \|Y\| - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|E_i Y E_i\|$$

$$\geq [1 - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{k_i k_i'}{m^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{k_i + k_i'}{(pm)^2} + \frac{n}{(pm)^2})]\|Y\|.$$

Let $P = F^{pm}_{s_1,s_2,\cdots,s_n}P_0$ and $Q = Q_0F^{pm}_{s_1',s_2',\cdots,s_n'}$. Then it is clear that $P, Q \in \mathcal{A}'$, $PQ = 0$, $Y = PXQ \neq 0$, and

$$\frac{\|Y\| - \|\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(Y)\|}{\|Y\|} \geq \frac{p^2 - 4\sqrt{n}m - 2n}{2(pm)^2}.$$

The lemma is proved.

It follows from the proof of Lemma 2.4 that we have the following important conclusion:

Corollary 2.1. Let $1 \leq n < \infty$, $\mathcal{A} = \{E_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{E}(H)$ be commutative and $\sum_{i=1}^n E_i^2 \leq I$. If $X \in B(H)$ and there exist integers m, k, j with $|k - j| \geq 2$ such that

$$P^{E_1}(\frac{k}{m}, \frac{k+1}{m}]XP^{E_1}(\frac{j}{m}, \frac{j+1}{m}] \neq 0,$$

then for each positive integer p, there exist projection operators $P, Q \in \mathcal{A}'$, $PQ = 0$, $Y = PXQ \neq 0$, and

$$\frac{\|Y\| - \|\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(Y)\|}{\|Y\|} \geq \frac{p^2 - 4\sqrt{n}mp - 2n}{2(pm)^2}.$$

3 Proofs

First, we prove that if $1 \leq n \leq \infty$, $\mathcal{A} = \{E_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{E}(H)$ is commutative and $\sum_{i=1}^n E_i^2 = I$ in strong operator topology, then the fixed points set $\mathcal{B}(H)^{\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}}$ of $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ is $\mathcal{A}'$.

In fact, since $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)^{\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}}$, in order to prove the converse containing relation, we suppose that $B \in \mathcal{B}(H)^{\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}} \setminus \mathcal{A}'$. Without of losing generality, we can suppose that B is not commutative with E_1. By Lemma 2.3, there is a triple integer set $\{m, j, k\}$ such that $|k-j| \geq 2$ and $P^{E_1}(\frac{k}{m}, \frac{k+1}{m}]BP^{E_1}(\frac{j}{m}, \frac{j+1}{m}] \neq 0$.

For each positive integer $q \leq n$, let $F_q = \sum_{i=1}^q E_i^2$ and $\Phi_q : \mathcal{B}(H) \to \mathcal{B}(H)$ be defined by $\Phi_q(A) = \sum_{i=1}^q E_i A E_i$. Then $F_q \to I$ in strong operator topology and Φ_q is a completely positive map. If denote $P_q = P^{F_q}((1-\frac{1}{4m^2}, 1])$, then $P_q \to I$ in strong operator topology (see [21, P_{248}]). Now we show that $P_q P^{E_1}(\frac{k}{m}, \frac{k+1}{m}]BP^{E_1}(\frac{j}{m}, \frac{j+1}{m}]P_q = 0$. In fact, if not, note that

$$P^{E_1}(\frac{k}{m}, \frac{k+1}{m}]P_q P^{E_1}(\frac{k}{m}, \frac{k+1}{m}]BP^{E_1}(\frac{j}{m}, \frac{j+1}{m}]P_q P^{E_1}(\frac{j}{m}, \frac{j+1}{m}]$$

$$= P_q P^{E_1}(\frac{k}{m}, \frac{k+1}{m}]BP^{E_1}(\frac{j}{m}, \frac{j+1}{m}]P_q \neq 0,$$

so, by Corollary 2.1 that for each positive integer p, there exist projection operators P and Q, $P, Q \in \mathcal{A}'$, $PQ = 0$, such that

$$Y = PP_q P^{E_1}(\frac{k}{m}, \frac{k+1}{m}]BP^{E_1}(\frac{j}{m}, \frac{j+1}{m}]P_q Q$$

$$= P_q P P^{E_1}(\frac{k}{m}, \frac{k+1}{m}]BP^{E_1}(\frac{j}{m}, \frac{j+1}{m}]Q P_q \neq 0,$$

and

$$\frac{\|Y\| - \|\Phi_q(Y)\|}{\|Y\|} \geq \frac{p^2 - 4\sqrt{q}mp - 2q}{2(pm)^2}.$$

Since

$$\frac{p^2 - 4\sqrt{q}mp - 2q}{2(pm)^2} \to \frac{1}{2m^2}$$

as $p \to \infty$. So we can choose Y such that

$$\frac{\|Y\| - \|\Phi_q(Y)\|}{\|Y\|} \geq \frac{3}{8m^2}.$$

Note that $P_q E_i = E_i P_q$ and $P_q Y = Y P_q$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{P_q E_i\}_{i=q+1}^n$ decides a *Lüders* operation $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_1}$, and

$$||\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_1}|| = \| \sum_{i=q+1}^n P_q E_i^2 P_q\| = \|P_q(\sum_{i=q+1}^n E_i^2)P_q\| = \|P_q(I - \sum_{i=1}^q E_i^2)P_q\| \leq \frac{1}{4m^2},$$

so we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(Y)\| &= \|\Phi_q(Y)+\sum_{i=q+1}^{n}E_iYE_i\| \\
&= \|\Phi_q(Y)+\sum_{i=q+1}^{n}E_iP_qYP_qE_i\| \\
&\leq \|\Phi_q(Y)\|+\|\sum_{i=q+1}^{n}P_qE_iYE_iP_q\| \qquad (7)\\
&= \|\Phi_q(Y)\|+||\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_1}(Y)|| \\
&\leq (1-\tfrac{3}{8m^2})\|Y\|+\tfrac{1}{4m^2}\|Y\| \\
&= (1-\tfrac{1}{8m^2})\|Y\|.
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, we show that $Y=P_qPP^{E_1}(\frac{k}{m},\frac{k+1}{m}]BP^{E_1}(\frac{j}{m},\frac{j+1}{m}]QP_q\in\mathcal{B}(H)^{\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}}$. In fact, note that $\{P_q,P,P^{E_1}(\frac{k}{m},\frac{k+1}{m}],P^{E_1}(\frac{j}{m},\frac{j+1}{m}],Q\}\subseteq\mathcal{A}'$ and $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(B)=B$, so we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(Y)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}E_iYE_i&=\sum_{i=1}^{n}E_iP_qPP^{E_1}(\frac{k}{m},\frac{k+1}{m}]BP^{E_1}(\frac{j}{m},\frac{j+1}{m}]QP_qE_i\\
&=P_qPP^{E_1}(\frac{k}{m},\frac{k+1}{m}](\sum_{i=1}^{n}E_iBE_i)P^{E_1}(\frac{j}{m},\frac{j+1}{m}]QP_q\\
&=P_qPP^{E_1}(\frac{k}{m},\frac{k+1}{m}]\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(B)P^{E_1}(\frac{j}{m},\frac{j+1}{m}]QP_q\\
&=P_qPP^{E_1}(\frac{k}{m},\frac{k+1}{m}]BP^{E_1}(\frac{j}{m},\frac{j+1}{m}]QP_q=Y.
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradicts (7) and so $P_qP^{E_1}(\frac{k}{m},\frac{k+1}{m}]BP^{E_1}(\frac{j}{m},\frac{j+1}{m}]P_q=0$. Note that

$$
P^{E_1}(\frac{k}{m},\frac{k+1}{m}]BP^{E_1}(\frac{j}{m},\frac{j+1}{m}]=\lim_{q\to\infty}P_qP^{E_1}(\frac{k}{m},\frac{k+1}{m}]BP^{E_1}(\frac{j}{m},\frac{j+1}{m}]P_q
$$

in strong operator topology ([33]), so

$$
P^{E_1}(\frac{k}{m},\frac{k+1}{m}]BP^{E_1}(\frac{j}{m},\frac{j+1}{m}]=0.
$$

This contradicts $P^{E_1}(\frac{k}{m},\frac{k+1}{m}]BP^{E_1}(\frac{j}{m},\frac{j+1}{m}]\neq 0$. So $B\in\mathcal{A}'$.

Next, we prove that if $1\leq n\leq\infty$, $\mathcal{A}=\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{n}\subseteq\mathcal{E}(H)$ is commutative and $F=\sum_{i=1}^{n}E_i^2<I$, then the fixed points set $\mathcal{B}(H)^{\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}}$ of $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ is $P^F\{1\}\mathcal{A}'$.

In fact, if denote $P=P^F\{1\}$, by the spectral representation theorem ([20]), we have $PF=FP=P$. Let $B\in\mathcal{B}(H)^{\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}}$. Then by the above section analysis methods, we have $B\in\mathcal{A}'$. Let $Q=I-P$ and $Q_k=P^F(0,1-\frac{1}{k}]$. Then $Q_k\to Q$ in strong operator topology and $Q_k\in\mathcal{A}'$, so $Q_kB\in\mathcal{B}(H)^{\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}}$. Let Φ_k be the completely positive map which is decided by $\{E_iQ_k\}_{i=1}^n$. Then $\|\Phi_k\| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{k}$. Note that $B, Q_k \in \mathcal{A}'$ and $Q_k^2 = Q_k$, thus we have $\|Q_kB\| = \|\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(Q_kB)\| = \|\Phi_k(Q_kB)\| \leq (1 - \frac{1}{k})\|Q_kB\|$, so $Q_kB = 0$. Note that $QB = \lim\limits_{k\to\infty} Q_kB$ in strong operator topology, so $QB = 0$, that is, $(I - P)B = 0$, i.e., $B = PB$, this showed that $\mathcal{B}(H)^{\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}} \subseteq P\mathcal{A}'$. If $B \in P\mathcal{A}'$, note that $P \in \mathcal{A}'$, so $PB = BP = B$. Moreover, $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(B) = BF = PBF = BPF = BP = B$, that is, $B \in \mathcal{B}(H)^{\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}}$, thus we have $P\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)^{\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}}$ and the proofs are completed.

References

[1]. Foulis, D. J., Bennett, M. K.: Effect algebras and unsharp quantum logics. Found. Phys. 24(10), 1331-1352 (1994)

[2]. Choi, M. D.: Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices. Lin. Alg. Appl. 10(3), 285-290 (1975)

[3]. Prunaru, B.: Toeplitz operators associated to commuting row contractions. J. Func. Anal. 254 (6), 1626-1641 (2008)

[4]. Prunaru, B.: Lifting fixed points of completely positive mappings. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 350 (1), 333-339 (2009)

[5]. Arias, A., Gheondea, A., Gudder, S.: Fixed points of quantum operations. J. Math. Phys. 43(12), 5872-5881 (2002)

[6]. Lüders, G.: Über die Zustandsänderung durch den Messprozess, Ann. Physik (6). 8 (1), 322-328 (1951)

[7]. Davies, E. B.: Quantum Theory of Open Systems, Academic Press, London (1976)

[8]. Gheondea, A., Kavruk, A. S.: Absolute continuity for operator valued completely positive maps on C^*-algebras. J. Math. Physi. 50(2), 022102-022130 (2009)

[9]. Kraus, K.: Effects and Operations. Springer-Verlag, Beilin (1983)

[10]. Amosov, G. G., Holevo, A. S., Werner R. F.: On the additivity hypothesis in quantum information theory. Probl. Inform. Transm. 36 (4), 305-313 (2000)

[11]. Busch, P, Grabowski, M. and Lahti, P. J.: Operational Quantum Physics, Springer-Verlag, Beijing Word Publishing Corporation (1999)

[12]. Nielsen, M., Chuang, J.: Quantum computation and quantum information, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2000)

[13]. King, C.: Additivity for a class of unital qubit channels. J. Math. Phys. 43 (10), 4641-4653 (2002)

[14]. Kribs, D. W.: Quantum channels, wavelets, dilations, and representations of $\mathcal{O}_n$. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 46 (2), 421-433 (2003)

[15]. Holbrook, J. A., Kribs, D. W., Laflamme, R. and Poulin, D.: Noiseless subsystems for collective rotation channels in quantum information theory. Inter. Equ. Oper. Theory. 51 (2), 215-234 (2005)

[16]. Choi, M. D, Kribs D. W.: Method to Find Quantum Noiseless Subsystems. Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (5), 050501-050504 (2006)

[17]. Blume-Kohout R., Ng, H. K, Poulin, D. and Viola, L.: Characterizing the Structure of Preserved Information in Quantum Processes. Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (3), 030501-030504 (2008)

[18]. Choi, M. D., Johnston, N., Kribs, D. W.: The multiplicative domain in quantum error correction. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 (24), 245303-245317 (2009)

[19]. Arveson, W.: Quantum channels that preserve entanglement. Math. Ann. 343 (4), 757-771 (2009)

[20]. Kadison, R. V. and Ringrose, J. R.: Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator algebra I, II, Springer, New York (1983)

[21]. Riesz, F. and SZ.-Nagy, B.: Functional Analysis, Science Press of China, Beijing (1981)